Mishcon de Reya

Our Ref: FN / 60052.1

EDPB
National DPAs (via EDPB Secretariat)
Brussels

Cc: PETI, EU FATCA Petitioners

24 January 2025

Africa House 70 Kingsway London WC2B 6AH DX 37954 Kingsway T: +44 20 3321 7000 www.mishcon.com

London | Cambridge | Oxford | Hong Kong | Singapore

FATCA | Art. 46 GDPR - More evidence of lower data protection safeguards

This week's article in the New York Times confirms what the European Commission/Parliament, as well as campaigners had been saying for years, notably that the US has lower standards of data protection – punching a hole in Art. 46 GDPR:



The New York Times

Trump Seeks to Paralyze Independent Privacy and Civil Liberties Watchdog

Trump Administration

Jan. 22, 2025

The board also plays a key role in <u>an agreement between the United States and the European Union</u>, which allows businesses to transfer Europeans' personal data to the United States in part because the board exists as a check on surveillance practices and can oversee a process of addressing complaints from Europeans about any misuse of their data.



The EDPB has a duty to ensure the consistent application of the GDPR, something the EDPB acknowledges but fails to uphold. (In the context of FATCA there is overwhelming evidence of a an inconsistent (and inexistent) application of the GDPR)¹.

It is time for the EDPB to shake off its torpor, emerge from its long Finnish winter and finally intervene to uphold the fundamental rights of millions of affected EU citizens. At the very minimum, it should expressly confirm the application of Guideline 2/2024 to FATCA, and actively engage with data protection campaigners and EU FATCA Petitioners.

Filippo Noseda

Partner

¹ Belgium, France, Germany, the Netherlands (see also here), Slovenia, Slovakia and Sweden. The Swedish position is particularly interesting, because the IMY first took one position, and then the opposite one, showing the lack of consistency even within single EU Member States. The Commission, too, took conflicting over time, showing the lack of a consistent application of the GDPR in relation to FATCA.